

https://lib.uliege.be



https://matheo.uliege.be

Analysis of field data and modeling with the faq aquacrop model, in the framework of a study on the resistance of two rice cultivars to irrigation deficiency in Cambodia

Auteur : Lerfel, Déborah
Promoteur(s) : Degré, Aurore
Faculté : Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech (GxABT)
Diplôme : Master de spécialisation en production intégrée et préservation des ressources naturelles en milieu urbain et péri-urbain
Année académique : 2021-2022
URI/URL : http://hdl.handle.net/2268.2/16573

Avertissement à l'attention des usagers :

Tous les documents placés en accès ouvert sur le site le site MatheO sont protégés par le droit d'auteur. Conformément aux principes énoncés par la "Budapest Open Access Initiative" (BOAI, 2002), l'utilisateur du site peut lire, télécharger, copier, transmettre, imprimer, chercher ou faire un lien vers le texte intégral de ces documents, les disséquer pour les indexer, s'en servir de données pour un logiciel, ou s'en servir à toute autre fin légale (ou prévue par la réglementation relative au droit d'auteur). Toute utilisation du document à des fins commerciales est strictement interdite.

Par ailleurs, l'utilisateur s'engage à respecter les droits moraux de l'auteur, principalement le droit à l'intégrité de l'oeuvre et le droit de paternité et ce dans toute utilisation que l'utilisateur entreprend. Ainsi, à titre d'exemple, lorsqu'il reproduira un document par extrait ou dans son intégralité, l'utilisateur citera de manière complète les sources telles que mentionnées ci-dessus. Toute utilisation non explicitement autorisée ci-avant (telle que par exemple, la modification du document ou son résumé) nécessite l'autorisation préalable et expresse des auteurs ou de leurs ayants droit.

ANNEXES

• Complete protocols

• Calibration of moisture sensors, adapted from Sodham Adla *et al.* (2019) and Matula *et al.* (2016).

Material:

- 2 x Teros 12 moisture sensors (form METER Group)
- 1L container
- Soil samples from our experimental plots
- Em50 datalogger (from Decagon Devices Inc.)
- Computer

Method:


- 1. We went to the experimental plots in the different sites and collected a sufficient amount of samples to proceed with the calibration.
- 2. We dried the samples in the oven for 24h at 105°C.
- 3. We then crushed the samples and removed the secondary soil structures (rocks, roots, etc.)
- 4. We put the dry samples in the container, with the sensor, and plugged in the datalogger.
- 5. We first measured the value that the sensor indicated for the dry soil.
- 6. Secondly, we added water in the container until we reached 15% volumetric water content. We mixed the soil to homogenize the moisture and repeated the measure.
- 7. We repeated this manipulation with 30% volumetric water content and pure water.
- 8. We repeated this process 3 times per sensor and per soil type to have an average value.
- 9. With this data, we were able to fit a 4-points regression curve on the data and get the equation that corrects the Teros 12 measurements.
 - Pressure plates measurement

Material:


- 2 x Teros 12 moisture sensors (form METER Group)
- 1L container
- Soil samples from our experimental plots
- Em50 datalogger (from Decagon Devices Inc.)
- Computer

Method:

- 1. We went to the experimental plots in the different sites and collected a sufficient amount of samples to proceed with the calibration.
- 2. We dried the samples in the oven for 24h at 105°C.
- 3. We then crushed the samples and removed the secondary soil structures (rocks, roots, etc.)
- 4. We put the dry samples in the container, with the sensor, and plugged in the datalogger.
- 5. We first measured the value that the sensor indicated for the dry soil.
- 6. Secondly, we added water in the container until we reached 15% volumetric water content. We mixed the soil to homogenize the moisture and repeated the measure.
- 7. We repeated this manipulation with 30% volumetric water content and pure water.
- 8. We repeated this process 3 times per sensor and per soil type to have an average value.
- 9. With this data, we were able to fit a 4-points regression curve on the data and get the equation that corrects the Teros 12 measurements.



pF curve Kampong Thom, site 1



• Measurement of bulk density

Material:

- Soil samples from our experimental plots
- Scientific balance


- Oven
- Metal rings


Method:

- 1. We went to the experimental plots in the different sites and collected a three samples per layer of soil to proceed with the measurement.
- 2. We placed the samples in metal rings.
- 3. We dried the samples in the oven for 24h at 105°C.
- 4. We then weighted the dry samples, removed them from the rings and also weighted the rings.
- 5. We measured the volume of each ring.
- 6. We calculated the bulk density with the following equation: $\rho_b = \frac{m}{v}$ With m being the dry mass of the sample in g and v being its volume in cm³.

• Weather data

The soil dynamics (water level, soil moisture and soil potential) are closely related to the weather. Indeed, the temperature, precipitation and evapotranspiration are the main causes of change.






Bulk electrical conductivity

We can look into the bulk electrical conductivity to understand more about the water dynamics in the soil.

• In Kampong Thom




Measures of bulk electrical conductivity in Kampong Thom, depth of 10cm - Site 2

On figure X, we can see the evolution of the electrical conductivity. It is depending on the concentration of ions, their type and the temperature. Thus, it does not react to the quantity of water, but the presence of ions: it should react to the application of fertilizer (the green lines on the graph) and if it reacts to the application of irrigation that would mean that the water is charged in ions, maybe salt.

Indeed, we do not have any information concerning the composition of the water of irrigation, so the electrical conductivity can be a clue.

From what we can see on the figure, we can assume that some input of ions was made before the start of the rice culture, even though no fertilization is listed prior to the transplant. We can see that the first fertilization leads to a slow increase in bulk electrical conductivity, but it is hard to interpret the effect of the irrigation events.



In CARDI

We can see here that over time, the EC_b decreases at 5cm, and increases at 15cm. This figure could then illustrate the penetration of the fertilizer from the top layer of the soil, and its accumulation deeper in the soil. Indeed, the plateaus that we can see in the 5cm layer correspond to the application of fertilizer (represented by the vertical green lines).

• Parameters entered in the model

	CAR15	Sen Pidor
Days to reach maximum root	65	65
WP (g/m²)	17	16
HI	39	42
Tolerance to water stress	Extremely tolerant	Extremely tolerant
ССо	0,75	0,75
Maximum CC	56	43
Canopy decline	12	12
Recovery	7	7
Days to reach max. canopy	52	52
Senescence	69	73
Maturity	83	95
Days to flowering	53	66
Duration of flowering	7	7
Min-max effective root depth	10-15 cm	10-15 cm

Parameters of the different cultivars in AquaCrop

The weed management is very good. Concerning the field management, there were bunds between the plots, with a depth varying between 0.3 and 0.4m, but in CARDI the size of the bunds was reduced to translate the drainage of the plots after intense rains.

The salinity stress was not taken into account, because we did not have any information on the composition of the water. Moreover, the measures bulk electrical conductivities (shown in the annex) were relatively low and could be attributed to the fertilizer inputs.

We considered the temperature stress, and set-up the base temperature at 10°C and the upper temperature at 40°C.


As there were several inputs of fertilizer in all the sites, we assumed that there was no major fertility stress. Moreover we did not have the data necessary to calibrate the reaction of the cultivars to the absence of fertilizer so we did not consider this stress either.

	CAR15	Sen Pidor
WP (g/m²)	17	16
HI	48 42	
Tolerance to water stress	Extremely tolerant	Extremely tolerant
ССо	0,75 0,75	
CC _x	60	63
Canopy decline	16	25
Recovery	7	6


Values of the cultivars after calibration

Days to reach CC _x	69	42
Senescence	70	66
Maturity	90	95
Days to flowering	60	66
Duration of flowering	8	8
Min-max effective root depth	15cm	10cm
K _{c Tr}	1.10	0.95


• Plant height



Plant height at harvest in CARDI, 2021



Plant height at harvest in CARDI, 2022



Plant height at harvest in Kampong Thom

• Yield and WUE data

	CARDI 2021							
		AW	D15		CF			
	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15
Grain yield (ton/ha) at 14% moisture	2,419 ± 0,245	2,203 ± 0,099	2,499 ± 0,165	2,947 ± 0,245	3,280 ± 0,226	2,216 ± 0,098	3,052 ± 0,156	2,757 ± 0,204
Harvest index (%)	40,245 ± 1,841	33,818 ± 1,735	35,067 ± 4,273	34,719 ± 1,586	40,878 ± 2,501	34,698 ± 2,292	39,227 ± 3,584	38,835 ±1,802
				CARE	DI 2022			
		AW	D15			C	F	
	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15
Grain yield (ton/ha) at 14% moisture	3,379 ± 0,150	2,817 ± 0,153	2,677 ± 0,415	3,015 ± 0,416	3,116 ± 0,530	3,206 ± 0,203	3,131 ± 0,141	3,311 ± 0,105

Harvest	24 5 2 7	20.004	20.564	20.440	22.077	20.476	20.220	20,400
index	34,537	28,891	28,561	29,440	32,077	29,476	28,328	30,400
	± 2.487	± 2.390	± 3,348	± 1.840	± 2.825	± 1.095	± 0,762	± 1.921
(%)	, -	,	- /		,	,	- / -	<i>,</i> -

	Kampong Thom (CAR15)						
		Site 1		Site 2			
	AWD20	AWD15	CF	AWD20	AWD15	CF	
Grain yield (ton/ha) at 14% moisture	3,233 ± 0,291	3,736 ± 0,172	3,564 ± 0,435	3,054 ± 0,742	3,601 ± 0,531	4,060 ± 0,986	
Harvest index (%)	45,312 ± 0,015	44,964 ± 0,003	42,547 ± 0,016	43,200 ± 3,424	46,529 ± 1,725	36,938 ± 14,665	

Grain yield and harvest index in Kampong Thom


Water-Use Efficiency and Economic efficiency in all the experimental sites

	CARDI 2021							
		AWI	015		CF			
	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15
WUE (kg/m³)	0,625 ± 0,052	0,411 ± 0,097	0,274 ± 0,055	0,540 ± 0,067	0,407 ± 0,023	0,274 ± 0,009	0,377 ± 0,016	0,341 ± 0,021
Economic efficiency (USD/m ³)	0,328 ± 0,031	0,267 ± 0,01	0,200 ± 0,029	0,270 ± 0,038	0,261 ± 0,025	0,217 ±0,01	0,334 ± 0,049	0,208 ± 0,029
	CARDI 2022							
		AWI	015		CF			
	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15	OM5451	Sen Pidor	Sen Kro Ob	CAR15
WUE (kg/m³)	0,352 ± 0,017	0,289 ± 0,010	0,284 ± 0,006	0,308 ± 0,009	0,382 ± 0,053	0,397 ±0,021	0,374 ±0,015	0,401 ± 0,010
Economic efficiency (USD/m ³)	0,175 ± 0,017	0,181 ± 0,010	0,192 ± 0,028	0,149 ±0,021	0,162 ± 0,015	0,206 ± 0,01	0,224 ± 0,033	0,164 ± 0,023
	Kampong Thom (CAR15)							
		Site	e 1			Sit	e 2	
	AWD20	AWI	015	CF	AWD20	AW	D15	CF


WUE	0,351	0,352	0,358	0,548	0,578	0,650
(kg/m ³)	±0,039	± 0,027	± 0,013	± 0,023	± 0,034	± 0,012
Economic efficiency (USD/m ³)	0,175 ± 0,025	0,176 ± 0,025	0,179 ± 0,025	0,274 ± 0,038	0,289 ± 0,041	0,325 ± 0,046

• Economic Water-Use Efficiency


According the most recent selling prices of the different varieties, we also calculated the economic income that farmers can earn with 1 m^3 of water. Indeed, in 2022 the Sen Pidor variety is sold for 650\$ per ton, the OM5451 cultivar is sold from 490\$ to 560\$ per ton. The CAR15 variety is sold from 450\$ to 550\$ per ton and finally the Sen Kro Ob variety is sold from 650\$ to 800\$ per ton.




Economic Water-Use Efficiency in CARDI, 2021



Economic Water-Use Efficiency in CARDI, 2022



Economic Water-Use Efficiency in Kampong Thom



Quantity of water saved in all the AWD scenarios (compared to CF)

In figure 50 are presented the percentage of water saved compared to CF in the AWD scenarios in all sites, according to the theoretical irrigation schedule, and the measured water levels.

We need to take a lot of precaution interpreting this graph because it is based on measures of water level that may not be accurate. We did not have any data of volume or discharge so the actual percentage of water saved may not be exact. In all the experiments including AWD in the literature, the AWD saves water, so here we probably have a combination of bad management and bad data collection.

• Qualitative observations


During the harvest in the Site 2 of Kampong Thom, we observed that a lot of rice grains were unripe. This was not quantified because the grains were dried and then it was impossible to determine the ripe from the unripe grains. This phenomenon could be due to the very poor stand that the number of tillers and grains had to compensate, but the quality of the grains was lessened.

Also, during the harvest in Site 2, we observed some lodging in the AWD20 plots. This was not a problem because the harvest was made manually but this could be in the case of a harvest that uses tractors.

We also observed eggs of the golden apple snails in all the sites at several times of the culture. Even though there was a pesticide that was applied, some snails were still present.


• Statistical analysis – detailed results


• Validity of the test of the effect of irrigation in the three sites





Test of normality for each group

• Validity of the test of the effect of irrigation in Kampong Thom










• Validity of the test of the effect of irrigation in Kampong Thom



Test of normality for each group

• CARDI 2021

	Phenological stage	50% flowering [DAT]	Maturity [DAT]
	CAR15	53	83
AWD15	OM5451	50	80
AWDIS	Sen Kro Ob	59	89
	Sen Pidor	65	95
	CAR15	53	83
CF	OM5451	50	80
CF	Sen Kro Ob	60	90
	Sen Pidor	66	96

• CARDI 2022

[DAT]	Phenological stage	50% flowering [DAT]	Maturity [DAT]
	CAR15	56	86
	OM5451	50	80
AWD15	Sen Kro Ob	66	96
	Sen Pidor	70	100
	CAR15	55	85
CF	OM5451	51	81
CF	Sen Kro Ob	66	96
	Sen Pidor	69	99

• Kampong Thom Site 1

[DAT]	Phenological stage	50% flowering [DAT]	100% flowering [DAT]	End of flowering [DAT]	Maturity [DAT]
AWD20	CAR15	69	81	85	98
AWD15	CAR15	70	80	86	100
CF	CAR15	70	80	86	100

• Kampong Thom Site 2

[DAT]	Phenological stage	50% flowering [DAT]	100% flowering [DAT]	End of flowering [DAT]	Maturity [DAT]
AWD20	CAR15	55	66	70	85
AWD15	CAR15	60	64	71	90
CF	CAR15	57	63	69	87