

## The Old Dalby landslide: rock physics and electrical resistivity tomography monitoring

**Auteur :** Guérin, Alexis

**Promoteur(s) :** Nguyen, Frederic

**Faculté :** Faculté des Sciences appliquées

**Diplôme :** Master en ingénieur civil des mines et géologue, à finalité spécialisée en géologie de l'ingénieur et de l'environnement

**Année académique :** 2017-2018

**URI/URL :** <http://hdl.handle.net/2268.2/4635>

---

### Avertissement à l'attention des usagers :

*Tous les documents placés en accès ouvert sur le site le site MatheO sont protégés par le droit d'auteur. Conformément aux principes énoncés par la "Budapest Open Access Initiative"(BOAI, 2002), l'utilisateur du site peut lire, télécharger, copier, transmettre, imprimer, chercher ou faire un lien vers le texte intégral de ces documents, les disséquer pour les indexer, s'en servir de données pour un logiciel, ou s'en servir à toute autre fin légale (ou prévue par la réglementation relative au droit d'auteur). Toute utilisation du document à des fins commerciales est strictement interdite.*

*Par ailleurs, l'utilisateur s'engage à respecter les droits moraux de l'auteur, principalement le droit à l'intégrité de l'oeuvre et le droit de paternité et ce dans toute utilisation que l'utilisateur entreprend. Ainsi, à titre d'exemple, lorsqu'il reproduira un document par extrait ou dans son intégralité, l'utilisateur citera de manière complète les sources telles que mentionnées ci-dessus. Toute utilisation non explicitement autorisée ci-avant (telle que par exemple, la modification du document ou son résumé) nécessite l'autorisation préalable et expresse des auteurs ou de leurs ayants droit.*

---

# The Old Dalby landslide: rock physics and electrical resistivity tomography monitoring

Alexis GUÉRIN

supervised by Dr. Frédéric NGUYEN

MASTER IN MINING AND GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING

Academic year 2017-2018

## Representative illustrations




Figure 1: Overview of the data processing from the laboratory and field data acquisition to the saturation and soil suction imaging




Figure 2: ERT-derived saturation imaging of the Old Dalby relict landslide




Figure 3: Comparison between the cumulative infiltration measured at the weather station and the imaged saturation in the top 3 meters of Line 2







Figure 4: Location and geology of Old Dalby





Old Dalby (0.00-6.83m) Resistivity Log Comparison - 17/03/17









Figure 5: Old Dalby core. Comparison of the non contact resistivity imaging, with the galvanic resistivity measurements and the 5TE resistivity and moisture measurements





Master Thesis 2017-2018 Figure 6: Old Dalby landslide geological context




Figure 7: Prime system principle




Figure 8: Liquid limit




Figure 9: Old Dalby Particle Size Analysis




Figure 10: Resistivity-Moisture dataset from the laboratory characterization of re-compacted samples from Old Dalby




Figure 11: Moisture-saturation scatterplot.




Figure 12: Comparison between cumulative infiltration data and in-situ 5TE moisture sensors






Figure 13: Comparison between cumulative infiltration data and in-situ 5TE moisture sensors




Figure 14: Effect of temperature correction on resistivity data




Figure 15: Comparison between the 5TE moisture content measurements and the effective infiltration calculated from the weather monitoring




Figure 16: Comparison between the conductivity and the moisture measurement of the 5TE. Moisture and conductivity are evaluated with independent measurement methods.




Figure 17: Error on the resistivity measurement. For each sensor the 1st, the 5th, the 50th, the 95th and the 99th percentile of the resistivity measurement is shown.




Figure 18: Minimum resistivity observed for each cells (expressed in  $\Omega.m$ ). The minimum observed resistivity is supposed to be close to the saturated resistivity




Figure 19: Waxman-Smiths on resistivity ratio




Figure 20: Sensors selected for fitting the Waxman-Smiths model. The plotted values corresponds to the median resistivity (resp. resistivity ratio) measured in a given range of VMC (resp. saturation).




Figure 21: Top figure: Fitting of 5 modified Waxman-Smiths model on the selected resistivity dataset. Lower figures: Comparison of the error of the fitted models with the error of the dataset




Figure 22: Resistivity (without temperature correction) of the first ERT. Values are expressed in  $\Omega.m$




Figure 23: ERT-derived Saturation (subplot 1) and resistivity (subplot 2) mean values calculated for the first three meters of each lines.




Figure 24: ERT derived saturation imaging of the subsoil






Figure 25: ERT-derived Saturation (subplot 1) and resistivity (subplot 2) mean values calculated for the first three meters of each lines.




Figure 26: Effect of resistivity ratio error on saturation evaluation




Figure 27: Water retention curve established from laboratory testing of compacted material drilled on site




Figure 28: ERT-derived suction imaging of the subsoil